

BIPOLAR INTERVAL VALUED INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY NECESSITY OPERATOR

M.Suganya^[1], A.Manonmani^[2]

^[1]Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, LRG Government Arts college for Women, Tirupur.

^[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, LRG Government Arts college for Women, Tirupur.

Abstract

In this paper we have introduced the necessity operator on a Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Subset of a Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological space and verified its property.

Keyword

Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Space, Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set.

1. Introduction:

Lee introduced the concept of Bipolar fuzzy set. In Bipolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topology the membership and non-membership degree of the fuzzy set lies in the range [0,1] and [-1,0][21]. In this paper we have introduced the Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy necessity operator on a Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Subset of a Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological space and verified that the necessity operator on a Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Subset itself forms a Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological space.

2. Definition:

Let X be a non-empty set, and let A be a Bipolar interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy set on a Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Topological Space BIVIFTS(X), then the necessity operator on A is defined as

$$i. \quad []A = \left\{ \left\langle x, \left[\mu_{AL}^P(x), \mu_{AU}^P(x) \right], \left[1 - \mu_{AU}^P(x), 1 - \mu_{AL}^P(x) \right], \left[\mu_{AL}^N(x), \mu_{AU}^N(x) \right], \left[-1 + \mu_{AU}^N(x), -1 + \mu_{AL}^N(x) \right] \right\rangle \mid x \in X \right\}$$

2.1. Theorem:

Let (X, τ) be a Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Space (BIVIFTS). Based on the necessity operator on a Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy set A on X , we can also construct several BIVIFTSs on X as

$$\tau_N = \{ \bigcup A \mid A \in \tau \}$$

i.e., the necessity operator defined in the above definition itself forms a topology.

Proof:

In order to prove the topology we have to prove the following

Let S be a set and τ be a family of bipolar interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy subset of S . The family τ is called a Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topology (BIVIFT) on S if τ satisfies the following axioms

- i. $0_s, 1_s \in \tau$
- ii. If $\{A_i; i \in I\} \subseteq \tau$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \tau$
- iii. If $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n \in \tau$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \in \tau$

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_i be Bipolar interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy subsets on a Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Topological Space BIVIFTS(X).

To prove necessity operator is a Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Topological Space BIVIFTS(X)

- i. obviously $0_s, 1_s \in \tau_N$
- ii.

$$A \cup B = \left\{ \left\langle x, \left[\mu_{(A \cup B)L}^p(x), \mu_{(A \cup B)U}^p(x) \right], \left[\mu_{(A \cap B)L}^N(x), \mu_{(A \cap B)U}^N(x) \right] \right\rangle \mid x \in X \right\}$$

where

$$\mu_{(A \cup B)L}^p(x) = \min \{ \mu_{AL}^p(x), \mu_{BL}^p(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{(A \cup B)U}^p(x) = \max \{ \mu_{AU}^p(x), \mu_{BU}^p(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{(A \cap B)L}^n(x) = \max \{ \mu_{AL}^n(x), \mu_{BL}^n(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{(A \cap B)U}^n(x) = \min \{ \mu_{AU}^n(x), \mu_{BU}^n(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{(A \cup B)L}^p(x) = \min \{ \gamma_{AL}^p(x), \gamma_{BL}^p(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{(A \cup B)U}^p(x) = \max \{ \gamma_{AU}^p(x), \gamma_{BU}^p(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{(A \cap B)L}^n(x) = \max \{ \gamma_{AL}^n(x), \gamma_{BL}^n(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{(A \cap B)U}^n(x) = \min \{ \gamma_{AU}^n(x), \gamma_{BU}^n(x) \}$$

$$\Rightarrow []A_1 \cup []A_2 = \left\{ \left\langle \begin{array}{l} x, [\mu_{([]A_1 \cup []A_2)L}^p(x), \mu_{([]A_1 \cup []A_2)U}^p(x)] \\ [\mu_{([]A_1 \cap []A_2)L}^n(x), \mu_{([]A_1 \cap []A_2)U}^n(x)] \\ [\gamma_{([]A_1 \cup []A_2)L}^p(x), \gamma_{([]A_1 \cup []A_2)U}^p(x)] \\ [\gamma_{([]A_1 \cap []A_2)L}^n(x), \gamma_{([]A_1 \cap []A_2)U}^n(x)] \end{array} \right\rangle \mid x \in X \right\}$$

where

$$\mu_{([]A_1 \cup []A_2)L}^p(x) = \min \{ \mu_{[]A_1L}^p(x), \mu_{[]A_2L}^p(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{([]A_1 \cup []A_2)U}^p(x) = \max \{ \mu_{[]A_1U}^p(x), \mu_{[]A_2U}^p(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{([]A_1 \cap []A_2)L}^n(x) = \max \{ \mu_{[]A_1L}^n(x), \mu_{[]A_2L}^n(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{([]A_1 \cap []A_2)U}^n(x) = \min \{ \mu_{[]A_1U}^n(x), \mu_{[]A_2U}^n(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{([]A_1 \cup []A_2)L}^p(x) = \min \{ \gamma_{[]A_1L}^p(x), \gamma_{[]A_2L}^p(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{([]A_1 \cup []A_2)U}^p(x) = \max \{ \gamma_{[]A_1U}^p(x), \gamma_{[]A_2U}^p(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{([]A_1 \cap []A_2)L}^n(x) = \max \{ \gamma_{[]A_1L}^n(x), \gamma_{[]A_2L}^n(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{([]A_1 \cap []A_2)U}^n(x) = \min \{ \gamma_{[]A_1U}^n(x), \gamma_{[]A_2U}^n(x) \}$$

then

$$\mu_{([]A_1 \cup []A_2)L}^p(x) = \min \{ \mu_{A_1L}^p(x), \mu_{A_2L}^p(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{([]A_1 \cup []A_2)U}^p(x) = \max \{ \mu_{A_1U}^p(x), \mu_{A_2U}^p(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{([]A_1 \cap []A_2)L}^n(x) = \max \{ \mu_{A_1L}^n(x), \mu_{A_2L}^n(x) \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2])_U}^N(x) &= \min\{\mu_{A_U}^N(x), \mu_{A_2U}^N(x)\} \\
 1 - \mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2])_L}^P(x) &= \min\{1 - \mu_{A_L}^P(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2L}^P(x)\} \\
 1 - \mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2])_U}^P(x) &= \max\{1 - \mu_{A_U}^P(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2U}^P(x)\} \\
 1 - \mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2])_L}^N(x) &= \max\{1 - \mu_{A_L}^N(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2L}^N(x)\} \\
 1 - \mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2])_U}^N(x) &= \min\{1 - \mu_{A_U}^N(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2U}^N(x)\} \\
 \Rightarrow [A_1 \cup [A_2] &= \left\{ \left\langle \begin{aligned} &x, [\mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2])_L}^P(x), \mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2])_U}^P(x)], \\ &[\mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2])_L}^N(x), \mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2])_U}^N(x)], \\ &[1 - \mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2])_L}^P(x), 1 - \mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2])_U}^P(x)], \\ &[1 - \mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2])_L}^N(x), 1 - \mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2])_U}^N(x)] \end{aligned} \right\rangle \mid x \in X \right\} \in \tau_N \\
 \Rightarrow [A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i] &= \left\{ \left\langle \begin{aligned} &x, [\mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_L}^P(x), \mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_U}^P(x)], \\ &[\mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_L}^N(x), \mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_U}^N(x)], \\ &[\gamma_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_L}^P(x), \gamma_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_U}^P(x)], \\ &[\gamma_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_L}^N(x), \gamma_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_U}^N(x)] \end{aligned} \right\rangle \mid x \in X \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_L}^P(x) &= \min\{\mu_{A_L}^P(x), \mu_{A_2L}^P(x), \dots, \mu_{A_iL}^P(x)\} \\
 \mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_U}^P(x) &= \max\{\mu_{A_U}^P(x), \mu_{A_2U}^P(x), \dots, \mu_{A_iU}^P(x)\} \\
 \mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_L}^N(x) &= \max\{\mu_{A_L}^N(x), \mu_{A_2L}^N(x), \dots, \mu_{A_iL}^N(x)\} \\
 \mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_U}^N(x) &= \min\{\mu_{A_U}^N(x), \mu_{A_2U}^N(x), \dots, \mu_{A_iU}^N(x)\} \\
 \gamma_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_L}^P(x) &= \min\{\gamma_{A_L}^P(x), \gamma_{A_2L}^P(x), \dots, \gamma_{A_iL}^P(x)\} \\
 \gamma_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_U}^P(x) &= \max\{\gamma_{A_U}^P(x), \gamma_{A_2U}^P(x), \dots, \gamma_{A_iU}^P(x)\} \\
 \gamma_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_L}^N(x) &= \max\{\gamma_{A_L}^N(x), \gamma_{A_2L}^N(x), \dots, \gamma_{A_iL}^N(x)\} \\
 \gamma_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_U}^N(x) &= \min\{\gamma_{A_U}^N(x), \gamma_{A_2U}^N(x), \dots, \gamma_{A_iU}^N(x)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots [A_i])_L}^P(x) = \min\{\mu_{A_L}^P(x), \mu_{A_2L}^P(x), \dots, \mu_{A_iL}^P(x)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_i)U}^p(x) &= \max \{ \mu_{A_1U}^p(x), \mu_{A_2U}^p(x), \dots, \mu_{A_iU}^p(x) \} \\
 \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_i)L}^N(x) &= \max \{ \mu_{A_1L}^N(x), \mu_{A_2L}^N(x), \dots, \mu_{A_iL}^N(x) \} \\
 \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_i)U}^N(x) &= \min \{ \mu_{A_1U}^N(x), \mu_{A_2U}^N(x), \dots, \mu_{A_iU}^N(x) \} \\
 1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_i)L}^p(x) &= \min \{ 1 - \mu_{A_1L}^p(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2L}^p(x), \dots, 1 - \mu_{A_iL}^p(x) \} \\
 1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_i)U}^p(x) &= \max \{ 1 - \mu_{A_1U}^p(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2U}^p(x), \dots, 1 - \mu_{A_iU}^p(x) \} \\
 1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_i)L}^N(x) &= \max \{ 1 - \mu_{A_1L}^N(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2L}^N(x), \dots, 1 - \mu_{A_iL}^N(x) \} \\
 1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_i)U}^N(x) &= \min \{ 1 - \mu_{A_1U}^N(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2U}^N(x), \dots, 1 - \mu_{A_iU}^N(x) \} \\
 \Rightarrow [\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_i &= \left\langle \left[\begin{array}{l} x, [\mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_i)L}^p(x), \mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_i)U}^p(x)], \\ [\mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_i)L}^N(x), \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_i)U}^N(x)], \\ [1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_i)L}^p(x), 1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_i)U}^p(x)], \\ [1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_i)L}^N(x), 1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_i)U}^N(x)] \end{array} \right] \mid x \in X \right\rangle \in \tau_N
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the arbitrary union of Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Necessity Operators is in Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topology τ .

iii.

$$A \cap B = \left\langle \left[\left\langle x, [\mu_{(A \cap B)L}^p(x), \mu_{(A \cap B)U}^p(x)], [\mu_{(A \cup B)L}^N(x), \mu_{(A \cup B)U}^N(x)] \right\rangle, \left[\gamma_{(A \cap B)L}^p(x), \gamma_{(A \cap B)U}^p(x) \right], [\gamma_{(A \cup B)L}^N(x), \gamma_{(A \cup B)U}^N(x)] \right] \mid x \in X \right\rangle$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{(A \cap B)L}^p(x) &= \max \{ \mu_{AL}^p(x), \mu_{BL}^p(x) \} \\
 \mu_{(A \cap B)U}^p(x) &= \min \{ \mu_{AU}^p(x), \mu_{BU}^p(x) \} \\
 \mu_{(A \cup B)L}^N(x) &= \min \{ \mu_{AL}^N(x), \mu_{BL}^N(x) \} \\
 \mu_{(A \cup B)U}^N(x) &= \max \{ \mu_{AU}^N(x), \mu_{BU}^N(x) \} \\
 \gamma_{(A \cap B)L}^p(x) &= \max \{ \gamma_{AL}^p(x), \gamma_{BL}^p(x) \} \\
 \gamma_{(A \cap B)U}^p(x) &= \min \{ \gamma_{AU}^p(x), \gamma_{BU}^p(x) \} \\
 \gamma_{(A \cup B)L}^N(x) &= \min \{ \gamma_{AL}^N(x), \gamma_{BL}^N(x) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\gamma_{(A \cup B)U}^N(x) = \max \{ \gamma_{AU}^N(x), \gamma_{BU}^N(x) \}$$

then

$$([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2) = \left\{ \left\langle \begin{array}{l} x, [\mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2)L}^p(x), \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2)U}^p(x)] \\ [\mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2)L}^N(x), \mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2)U}^N(x)] \\ [\gamma_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2)L}^p(x), \gamma_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2)U}^p(x)] \\ [\gamma_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2)L}^N(x), \gamma_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2)U}^N(x)] \end{array} \right\rangle \mid x \in X \right\}$$

where

$$\mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2)L}^p(x) = \max \{ \mu_{[\]A_1L}^p(x), \mu_{[\]A_2L}^p(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2)U}^p(x) = \min \{ \mu_{[\]A_1U}^p(x), \mu_{[\]A_2U}^p(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2)L}^N(x) = \min \{ \mu_{[\]A_1L}^N(x), \mu_{[\]A_2L}^N(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2)U}^N(x) = \max \{ \mu_{[\]A_1U}^N(x), \mu_{[\]A_2U}^N(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2)L}^p(x) = \max \{ \gamma_{[\]A_1L}^p(x), \gamma_{[\]A_2L}^p(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2)U}^p(x) = \min \{ \gamma_{[\]A_1U}^p(x), \gamma_{[\]A_2U}^p(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2)L}^N(x) = \min \{ \gamma_{[\]A_1L}^N(x), \gamma_{[\]A_2L}^N(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2)U}^N(x) = \max \{ \gamma_{[\]A_1U}^N(x), \gamma_{[\]A_2U}^N(x) \}$$

then

$$\mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2)L}^p(x) = \max \{ \mu_{A_1L}^p(x), \mu_{A_2L}^p(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2)U}^p(x) = \min \{ \mu_{A_1U}^p(x), \mu_{A_2U}^p(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2)L}^N(x) = \min \{ \mu_{A_1L}^N(x), \mu_{A_2L}^N(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2)U}^N(x) = \max \{ \mu_{A_1U}^N(x), \mu_{A_2U}^N(x) \}$$

$$1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2)L}^p(x) = \max \{ 1 - \mu_{A_1L}^p(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2L}^p(x) \}$$

$$1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2)U}^p(x) = \min \{ 1 - \mu_{A_1U}^p(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2U}^p(x) \}$$

$$1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2)L}^N(x) = \min \{ 1 - \mu_{A_1L}^N(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2L}^N(x) \}$$

$$1 - \mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2])U}^N(x) = \max \{1 - \mu_{A_1U}^N(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2U}^N(x)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow [A_1] \cap [A_2] = \left\{ \left\langle \begin{aligned} &x, \left[\mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2])L}^p(x), \mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2])U}^p(x) \right],, \right. \\ &\left. \left[\mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2])L}^N(x), \mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2])U}^N(x) \right], \right. \\ &\left. \left[1 - \mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2])L}^p(x), 1 - \mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2])U}^p(x) \right],, \right. \\ &\left. \left[1 - \mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2])L}^N(x), 1 - \mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2])U}^N(x) \right] \right. \end{aligned} \right\rangle \mid x \in X \right\} \in \tau_N$$

$$\Rightarrow [A_1] \cap [A_2] \cap \dots \cap [A_i] = \left\{ \left\langle \begin{aligned} &x, \left[\mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cap \dots \cap [A_i])L}^p(x), \mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cap \dots \cap [A_i])U}^p(x) \right], \right. \\ &\left. \left[\mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots \cup [A_i])L}^N(x), \mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots \cup [A_i])U}^N(x) \right], \right. \\ &\left. \left[\gamma_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cap \dots \cap [A_i])L}^p(x), \gamma_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cap \dots \cap [A_i])U}^p(x) \right], \right. \\ &\left. \left[\gamma_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots \cup [A_i])L}^N(x), \gamma_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots \cup [A_i])U}^N(x) \right] \right. \end{aligned} \right\rangle \mid x \in X \right\}$$

where

$$\mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cap \dots \cap [A_i])L}^p(x) = \max \{ \mu_{[A_1L}^p(x), \mu_{[A_2L}^p(x), \dots, \mu_{[A_iL}^p(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cap \dots \cap [A_i])U}^p(x) = \min \{ \mu_{[A_1U}^p(x), \mu_{[A_2U}^p(x), \dots, \mu_{[A_iU}^p(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots \cup [A_i])L}^N(x) = \min \{ \mu_{[A_1L}^N(x), \mu_{[A_2L}^N(x), \dots, \mu_{[A_iL}^N(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots \cup [A_i])U}^N(x) = \max \{ \mu_{[A_1U}^N(x), \mu_{[A_2U}^N(x), \dots, \mu_{[A_iU}^N(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cap \dots \cap [A_i])L}^p(x) = \max \{ \gamma_{[A_1L}^p(x), \gamma_{[A_2L}^p(x), \dots, \gamma_{[A_iL}^p(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cap \dots \cap [A_i])U}^p(x) = \min \{ \gamma_{[A_1U}^p(x), \gamma_{[A_2U}^p(x), \dots, \gamma_{[A_iU}^p(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots \cup [A_i])L}^N(x) = \min \{ \gamma_{[A_1L}^N(x), \gamma_{[A_2L}^N(x), \dots, \gamma_{[A_iL}^N(x) \}$$

$$\gamma_{([A_1 \cup [A_2 \cup \dots \cup [A_i])U}^N(x) = \max \{ \gamma_{[A_1U}^N(x), \gamma_{[A_2U}^N(x), \dots, \gamma_{[A_iU}^N(x) \}$$

then

$$\mu_{([A_1 \cap [A_2 \cap \dots \cap [A_i])L}^p(x) = \max \{ \mu_{A_1L}^p(x), \mu_{A_2L}^p(x), \dots, \mu_{A_iL}^p(x) \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_n)U}^p(x) &= \min \{ \mu_{A_1U}^p(x), \mu_{A_2U}^p(x), \dots, \mu_{A_nU}^p(x) \} \\
 \mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_n)L}^N(x) &= \min \{ \mu_{A_1L}^N(x), \mu_{A_2L}^N(x), \dots, \mu_{A_nL}^N(x) \} \\
 \mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_n)U}^N(x) &= \max \{ \mu_{A_1U}^N(x), \mu_{A_2U}^N(x), \dots, \mu_{A_nU}^N(x) \} \\
 1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_n)L}^p(x) &= \max \{ 1 - \mu_{A_1L}^p(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2L}^p(x), \dots, 1 - \mu_{A_nL}^p(x) \} \\
 1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_n)U}^p(x) &= \min \{ 1 - \mu_{A_1U}^p(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2U}^p(x), \dots, 1 - \mu_{A_nU}^p(x) \} \\
 1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_n)L}^N(x) &= \min \{ 1 - \mu_{A_1L}^N(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2L}^N(x), \dots, 1 - \mu_{A_nL}^N(x) \} \\
 1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_n)U}^N(x) &= \max \{ 1 - \mu_{A_1U}^N(x), 1 - \mu_{A_2U}^N(x), \dots, 1 - \mu_{A_nU}^N(x) \} \\
 \Rightarrow [\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_n &= \left\langle \left[\begin{array}{l} x, \left[\mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_n)L}^p(x), \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_n)U}^p(x) \right], \\ \left[\mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_n)L}^N(x), \mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_n)U}^N(x) \right], \\ \left[1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_n)L}^p(x), 1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cap [\]A_2 \cap \dots [\]A_n)U}^p(x) \right], \\ \left[1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_n)L}^N(x), 1 - \mu_{([\]A_1 \cup [\]A_2 \cup \dots [\]A_n)U}^N(x) \right] \end{array} \right] \mid x \in X \right\rangle \in \tau_N
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the finite intersection of Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Necessity Operators is in Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topology τ .

Hence the Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Necessity Operators itself forms a Bipolar Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topology τ .

References:

[1] Andrijevic.D, “Semipreopen sets”, Mat.Vesnic, 38 (1986), 24 -32.
 [2] Azhagappan. M and M.Kamaraj, “Notes on bipolar valued fuzzy rw-closed and bipolar valued fuzzy rw-open sets in bipolar valued fuzzy topological spaces”, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, 7(3), 30-36, 2016.
 [3] Bhattacharya.B., and Lahiri. B.K., “Semi-generalized closed set in topology”, Indian Jour.Math., 29 (1987), 375 -382.
 [4] Chang.C.L., “Fuzzy topological spaces”, JI. Math. Anal. Appl., 24(1968), 182 □190.
 [5] Dontchev.J., “On generalizing semipreopen sets”, Mem. Fac. sci. Kochi. Univ. Ser. A, Math.,16 (1995), 35 - 48.

- [6] Ganguly.S and Saha.S, “A note on fuzzy Semipreopen sets in fuzzy topological spaces”, Fuzzy sets and system, 18 (1986), 83 - 96.
- [7] Indira.R, Arjunan.K and Palaniappan.N, “Notes on interval valued fuzzy rw-closed, interval valued fuzzy rw-open sets in interval valued fuzzy topological space”, International Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics.,Vol.3, No.1(2013), 23 -38.
- [8] Jeyabalan.R and K. Arjunan, “Notes on interval valued fuzzy generalized semipreclosed sets”, International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics and Systems, Volume 3, Number 3 (2013), 215 -224.
- [9] Jeyabalan,R and Arjunan.K, “Interval valued fuzzy generalized semi-preclosed mappings”, IOSR Journal of Mathematics, Vol.8, Issue 5(2013), 40 – 47.
- [10] Lee, K.M., “Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and their operations”, Proc. Int. Conf. on Intelligent Technologies, Bangkok, Thailand, (2000), 307 -312.
- [11] Levine.N, “Generalized closed sets in topology”, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo, 19 (1970),89 -96.
- [12] Mondal.T.K., “Topology of interval valued fuzzy sets”, Indian J. Pure Appl.Math. 30, No.1 (1999), 23 - 38.
- [13] Murugan.V, U.Karuppiah & M.Marudai, Notes on multi fuzzy rw-closed, multi fuzzy rw-open sets in multi fuzzy topological spaces, Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research, Vo.4, Issue. 1, 2016, 174-179.
- [14] Sabu Sebastian, T.V.Ramakrishnan, Multi fuzzy sets, International Mathematical Forum, 5, no.50 (2010), 2471-2476.
- [15] Saraf.R.K and Khanna.K., “Fuzzy generalized semipreclosed sets”, Jour.Tripura. Math.Soc., 3 (2001), 59 - 68.
- [16] Selvam.R, K.Arjunan & KR.Balasubramanian,”Bipolar interval valued multi fuzzy generalized semipreclosed sets”, JASC Journal, Vol.6, ISS. 5(2019), 2688 -2697.
- [17] Vinoth.S & K.Arjunan, “A study on interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy generalized semipreclosed sets”, International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics and Systems, Vol. 5, No. 1 (2015), 47 -55.
- [18] Vinoth.S & K.Arjunan, “Interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy generalized semipreclosed mappings”, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, 6(8) (2015), 129 -135.
- [19] Zadeh.L.A., “Fuzzy sets”, Information and control, Vol.8 (1965), 338 -353.

[20] K., Mohana; Princy R; and F. Smarandache. "An Introduction to Neutrosophic Bipolar Vague Topological Spaces." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 29, 1 (2020).

[21] R.Selvam, K. Arjunan And Kr.Balasubramanian "Bipolar Interval Valued Multi Fuzzy Generalized Semipre Continuous Mappings" Volume: 06 Issue: 12 | Dec 2019.